

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/753,747	SCHURIG, ALMA K.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jean B. Corrielus	2637	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:

61 and 84

US 6,813,279

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

On J. Coniel
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's rep. agrees to amend claims 60, 61 and 84 as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct for some informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance. In addition the above reference was discussed and applicant argues that fig.1 does not anticipate or rendered obvious claim 60 because the converter does not convert coaxial data to differential data.